arXiv:1402.4631v2 [math.PR] 10 Mar 2014

A note on characterizations of G-normal distribution

Peng Luo* Guangyan Jia†

Abstract

In this paper, we show that the G-normality of X and Y can be characterized according to the form of f such that the distribution of $\lambda+f(\lambda)Y$ does not depend on λ, where Y is an independent copy of X and λ is in the domain of f. Without the condition that Y is identically distributed with X, we still have a similar argument.

Keywords: G-normal distribution, Characterization.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 60H30, 60H10.

1 Introduction

In the classical framework, let X and Y be two independent random vectors and f be a function defined on an interval of $\mathbb{R}$. Nguyen and Sampson ([2])obtained that the distribution of X and Y can be characterized according to the form of f such that the distribution of the random vector $\lambda X+f(\lambda)Y$ does not depend on λ, where λ takes on values in the domain of f. These results complement previously obtained characterizations where X and Y are required to be identically distributed and for one value λ^*, $\lambda^* + f(\lambda^*)Y$ has the same distribution as X which are discussed in Kagan et al. ([1]). All these results are related to the Marcinkiewicz theorem (see [1]), which simply says that under suitable conditions if X and Y are independent and identically distributed, and $\lambda_1 X + \tau_1 Y$ and $\lambda_2 X + \tau_2 Y$ have the same distribution, then X and Y have a normal distribution. Note that, in fact, τ_i, $i = 1, 2$, can not be arbitrary constants, but must satisfy $\tau_i = \sqrt{1-\lambda_i^2}$, $i = 1, 2$.

Recently, Peng systemically established a time-consistent fully nonlinear expectation theory (see [3], [4] and [5]).

As a typical and important case, Peng (2006) introduced the G-expectation theory(see [6] and the references therein). In the G-expectation framework (G-framework for short), the notion of independence, identically distributed and G-normal distribution were established.

Motivated by their works, we obtain several characterizations of G-normal distribution. The paper is organized as follow: In section 2, we recall some notations and results that we will use in this paper. In section 3, we obtain our main results. In section 4, we give some comments.

2 Preliminaries

We present some preliminaries in the theory of sublinear expectation, G-normal distribution under G-framework. More details can be found in Peng [6].

*School of Mathematics and Qilu Securities Institute for Financial Studies, Shandong University and Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Konstanz; pengluo1989@gmail.com

†Qilu Securities Institute for Financial Studies, Shandong University; jiagy@sdu.edu.cn

Definition 2.1 *Let Ω be a given set and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a vector lattice of real valued functions defined on Ω, namely $c \in \mathcal{H}$ for each constant c and $|X| \in \mathcal{H}$ if $X \in \mathcal{H}$. $\mathcal{H}$ is considered as the space of random variables. A sublinear expectation $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ is a functional $\hat{\mathbb{E}} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following properties: for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}$, we have*

(a) Monotonicity: If $X \geq Y$ then $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] \geq \hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y]$;

(b) Constant preservation: $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[c] = c$;

(c) Sub-additivity: $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X + Y] \leq \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] + \hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y]$;

(d) Positive homogeneity: $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\lambda X] = \lambda \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X]$ for each $\lambda \geq 0$. $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ is called a sublinear expectation space.

Definition 2.2 *Let X_1 and X_2 be two n-dimensional random vectors defined respectively in sublinear expectation spaces $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{H}_1, \hat{\mathbb{E}}_1)$ and $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{H}_2, \hat{\mathbb{E}}_2)$. They are called identically distributed, denoted by $X_1 \overset{d}{=} X_2$, if $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_1[\varphi(X_1)] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}_2[\varphi(X_2)]$, for all $\varphi \in C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the space of bounded and Lipschitz functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$.*

Definition 2.3 *In a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$, a random vector $Y = (Y_1, \cdots, Y_n)$, $Y_i \in \mathcal{H}$, is said to be independent of another random vector $X = (X_1, \cdots, X_m)$, $X_i \in \mathcal{H}$ under $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\cdot]$, denoted by $Y \perp X$, if for every test function $\varphi \in C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ we have $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X, Y)] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(x, Y)]_{x=X}]$.*

Definition 2.4 *(G-normal distribution) A d-dimensional random vector $X = (X_1, \cdots, X_d)$ in a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ is called G-normally distributed if for each $a, b \geq 0$ we have*

$$aX + b\bar{X} \overset{d}{=} \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} X,$$

where $\bar{X}$ is an independent copy of X, i.e., $\bar{X} \overset{d}{=} X$ and $\bar{X} \perp X$. Here the letter G denotes the function

$$G(A) := \frac{1}{2} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\langle AX, X \rangle] : \mathbb{S}_d \to \mathbb{R},$$

where $\mathbb{S}_d$ denotes the collection of $d \times d$ symmetric matrices.

Peng [6] showed that $X = (X_1, \cdots, X_d)$ is G-normally distributed if and only if for each $\varphi \in C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $u(t, x) := \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(x + \sqrt{t} X)]$, $(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$, is the solution of the following G-heat equation:

$$\partial_t u - G(D_x^2 u) = 0, \ u(0, x) = \varphi(x).$$

The function $G(\cdot) : \mathbb{S}_d \to \mathbb{R}$ is a monotonic, sublinear mapping on $\mathbb{S}_d$ and $G(A) = \frac{1}{2} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\langle AX, X \rangle] \leq \frac{1}{2} |A| \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X|^2]$ implies that there exists a bounded, convex and closed subset $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{S}_d^+$ such that

$$G(A) = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathrm{tr}[\gamma A],$$

where $\mathbb{S}_d^+$ denotes the collection of nonnegative elements in $\mathbb{S}_d$.

3 characterizations of G-normal distribution

We only consider non-degenerate random variable X on a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$, i.e. $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X^2] \geq -\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-X^2] \geq 0$. From the definition of G-normal distribution, a equivalent characterization of G-normal distribution is that,for any $a, b > 0$

$$\frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}}X + \frac{b}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}}Y \overset{d}{=} X,$$

where Y is an independent copy of X. Denote $\lambda = \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}}$, then

$$\lambda X + \sqrt{1-\lambda^2}Y \overset{d}{=} X.$$

We are interested in the case that $\sqrt{1-\lambda^2}$ is replaced by $f(\lambda)$ which is a nonnegative function of λ. Actually we have the following theorem

Theorem 3.1 *Let f be a nonnegative function defined on some interval of $\mathbb{R}$ and X be a non-degenerate random variable on a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$, for any λ which is in the domain of f,*

$$\lambda X + f(\lambda)Y \overset{d}{=} X$$

where Y is an independent copy of X, then:

(i) *X is G-normal distributed;*

(ii) *$f(\lambda) = \sqrt{1-\lambda^2}$.*

Proof. Denote $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] = \overline{\mu}$, $-\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-X] = \underline{\mu}$, $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X^2] = \overline{\sigma}^2$ and $-\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-X^2] = \underline{\sigma}^2$, then

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\lambda X + f(\lambda)\bar{X}] = f(\lambda)\overline{\mu} + \lambda^+\overline{\mu} - \lambda^-\underline{\mu} = \overline{\mu}. \tag{1}$$

$$-\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-\lambda X - f(\lambda)\bar{X}] = f(\lambda)\underline{\mu} - \lambda^-\overline{\mu} + \lambda^+\underline{\mu} = \underline{\mu}. \tag{2}$$

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\lambda X + f(\lambda)\bar{X})^2] = \lambda^2\overline{\sigma}^2 + f(\lambda)^2\overline{\sigma}^2 + 2f(\lambda)(\overline{\mu}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\lambda X)^+] - \underline{\mu}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\lambda X)^-]) = \overline{\sigma}^2. \tag{3}$$

$$-\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-(\lambda X + f(\lambda)\bar{X})^2] = \lambda^2\underline{\sigma}^2 + f(\lambda)^2\underline{\sigma}^2 + 2f(\lambda)(\underline{\mu}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\lambda X)^+] - \overline{\mu}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\lambda X)^-]) = \underline{\sigma}^2. \tag{4}$$

Without loss of generalization, we assume that there exist $b > a > 0$ such that the interval (a, b) is a subset of the domain of f.

We shall prove that $\overline{\mu} = 0$. Otherwise suppose $\overline{\mu} \neq 0$. Thus, for $\lambda \in (a, b)$, from (1)

$$f(\lambda) = 1 - \lambda.$$

Substitute in (3) and (4),

$$\overline{\sigma}^2 = \lambda^2(2\overline{\sigma}^2 - \overline{\mu}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X^+] + \underline{\mu}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X^-]) - 2\lambda(\overline{\sigma}^2 - \overline{\mu}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X^+] + \underline{\mu}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X^-]) + \overline{\sigma}^2.$$

$$\underline{\sigma}^2 = \lambda^2(2\underline{\sigma}^2 + \overline{\mu}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X^-] - \underline{\mu}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X^+]) - 2\lambda(\underline{\sigma}^2 + \overline{\mu}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X^-] - \underline{\mu}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X^+]) + \underline{\sigma}^2.$$

This contradiction yields that $\overline{\mu} = 0$. Similarly, $\underline{\mu} = 0$. Then

$$\overline{\sigma}^2 = \lambda^2\overline{\sigma}^2 + f(\lambda)\overline{\sigma}^2.$$

$$\underline{\sigma}^2 = \lambda^2\underline{\sigma}^2 + f(\lambda)\underline{\sigma}^2.$$

Hence, $f(\lambda) = \sqrt{1-\lambda^2}$. Thus X is G-normal distributed. $\square$

Moreover we can still have the following theorem without the condition that Y and X are identically distributed.

Theorem 3.2 *Let X, Y be two non-degenerate random variables on a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$and f be a given non-negative function defined on some interval of $\mathbb{R}$. Assuming that Y is independent with X, and $\lambda X + f(\lambda)Y$ is a non-degenerate random variable whose distribution does not depend on λ for all λ in the domain of f, then:*

(i) *$f(\lambda) = \sqrt{a - b\lambda^2}$ for some $a, b > 0$.*

(ii) *X and Y are G-normal distributed with $\overline{\sigma}_X^2 = b\overline{\sigma}_Y^2$, $\underline{\sigma}_X^2 = b\underline{\sigma}_Y^2$.*

Proof. Denote $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] = \overline{\mu}_X$, $-\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-X] = \underline{\mu}_X$, $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X^2] = \overline{\sigma}_X^2$ and $-\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-X^2] = \underline{\sigma}_X^2$, $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y] = \overline{\mu}_Y$, $-\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-Y] = \underline{\mu}_Y$, $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y^2] = \overline{\sigma}_Y^2$ and $-\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-Y^2] = \underline{\sigma}_Y^2$, we have

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\lambda X + f(\lambda)Y] = f(\lambda)\overline{\mu}_Y + \lambda^+\overline{\mu}_X + \lambda^-\underline{\mu}_X = \overline{h}. \tag{5}$$

$$-\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-(\lambda X + f(\lambda)Y)] = f(\lambda)\underline{\mu}_Y + \lambda^+\underline{\mu}_X - \lambda^-\overline{\mu}_X = \underline{h}. \tag{6}$$

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\lambda X + f(\lambda)Y)^2] = \lambda^2\overline{\sigma}_X^2 + f(\lambda)^2\overline{\sigma}_Y^2 + 2f(\lambda)(\overline{\mu}_Y\hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\lambda X)^+] - \underline{\mu}_Y\hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\lambda X)^-]) = \overline{\sigma}^2. \tag{7}$$

$$-\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-(\lambda X + f(\lambda)Y)^2] = \lambda^2\underline{\sigma}_X^2 + f(\lambda)^2\underline{\sigma}_Y^2 + 2f(\lambda)(\underline{\mu}_Y\hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\lambda X)^+] - \overline{\mu}_Y\hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\lambda X)^-]) = \underline{\sigma}^2. \tag{8}$$

Since the distribution of $\lambda X + f(\lambda)Y$ does not depend on λ, $\overline{h}$, $\underline{h}, \overline{\sigma}^2$ and $\underline{\sigma}^2$ do not depend on λ.

Without loss of generalization, we assume that there exist $b > a > 0$ such that the interval (a, b) is a subset of the domain of f.

We shall prove that $\overline{\mu}_Y = \overline{\mu}_X = 0$. Otherwise suppose $\overline{\mu}_Y \neq 0$ (and then $\overline{\mu}_X \neq 0$ for $\lambda \in (a, b)$ from (5)). Thus

$$f(\lambda) = \frac{\overline{h}}{\overline{\mu}_Y} - \lambda\frac{\overline{\mu}_X}{\overline{\mu}_Y}.$$

Substitute in (7) and (8),

$$\overline{\sigma}^2 = \lambda^2(\overline{\sigma}_X^2 + \overline{\sigma}_Y^2\frac{\overline{\mu}_X^2}{\overline{\mu}_Y^2} - \overline{\mu}_X\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X^+] + \frac{\overline{\mu}_X}{\overline{\mu}_Y}\underline{\mu}_Y\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X^-]) - 2\lambda\overline{h}(\overline{\sigma}_Y^2\frac{\overline{\mu}_X}{\overline{\mu}_Y^2} - \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X^+] + \frac{\underline{\mu}_Y}{\overline{\mu}_Y}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X^-]) + \frac{\overline{h}^2}{\overline{\mu}_Y^2}\overline{\sigma}_Y^2.$$

$$\underline{\sigma}^2 = \lambda^2(\underline{\sigma}_X^2 + \underline{\sigma}_Y^2\frac{\overline{\mu}_X^2}{\overline{\mu}_Y^2} + \overline{\mu}_X\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X^-] - \frac{\overline{\mu}_X}{\overline{\mu}_Y}\underline{\mu}_Y\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X^+]) - 2\lambda\overline{h}(\underline{\sigma}_Y^2\frac{\overline{\mu}_X}{\overline{\mu}_Y^2} + \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X^-] - \frac{\underline{\mu}_Y}{\overline{\mu}_Y}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X^+]) + \frac{\overline{h}^2}{\overline{\mu}_Y^2}\underline{\sigma}_Y^2.$$

depend on λ.

This contraction yields that $\overline{\mu}_X = \overline{\mu}_Y = 0$. Similarly, $\underline{\mu}_X = \underline{\mu}_Y = 0$. Hence $\overline{h} = \underline{h} = 0$ and

$$\overline{\sigma}^2 = \lambda^2 \overline{\sigma}_X^2 + f(\lambda)\overline{\sigma}_Y^2.$$

$$\underline{\sigma}^2 = \lambda^2 \underline{\sigma}_X^2 + f(\lambda)\underline{\sigma}_Y^2.$$

We have $f(\lambda) = \sqrt{\frac{\overline{\sigma}^2}{\overline{\sigma}_Y^2} - \frac{\overline{\sigma}_X^2}{\overline{\sigma}_Y^2}\lambda^2} = \sqrt{a - b\lambda^2}$ where $a = \frac{\overline{\sigma}^2}{\overline{\sigma}_Y^2}$, $b = \frac{\overline{\sigma}_X^2}{\overline{\sigma}_Y^2}$ and $\overline{\sigma}_X^2 = b\overline{\sigma}_Y^2$, $\underline{\sigma}_X^2 = b\underline{\sigma}_Y^2$.
The domain of $f(\lambda)$ is the interval $[-\sqrt{\frac{a}{b}}, \sqrt{\frac{a}{b}}]$.
For $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{a}{b}}$, the two random variables $\sqrt{a}Y$ and $\sqrt{\frac{a}{b}}X$ are identically distributed according to

$$\lambda X + \sqrt{a - b\lambda^2}Y \overset{d}{=} (\sqrt{1 - \frac{b}{a}\lambda^2})(\sqrt{\frac{a}{b}}X) + (\lambda\sqrt{\frac{b}{a}})(\sqrt{a}Y)$$

By Theorem (3.1),$\sqrt{\frac{a}{b}}X$ and $\sqrt{a}Y$ are G-normal distributed. Hence X and Y are G-normal distributed. □

4 Comments

Note that the distribution uncertainty of a G-normal distribution is not just the parameter of the classical normal distributions, it is difficult to obtain characterization results of G-normal distribution. Let $g_\lambda(u, v) = \lambda u + f(\lambda)v$ be a family of functions from $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. With the nondependence of the distribution of $g_\lambda(X, Y)$ upon λ, our results concern which possible forms of $g_\lambda(u, v)$ provide G-normality of X and Y. In conclusion , our results complement the characterizations of G-normal distribution.

Acknowledgment

References

[1] Kagan, A. M., Linnik, Y. V. and Rao, C. R. (1973) Chatacterization Problems in Mathematical Statistics, Wiley, New York.

[2] Nguyen, T. T. and Sampson A. R. (1991) A note on characterizations of mutivariate stable distributions, *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math. Vol. 43, No. 4,* 193–801.

[3] Peng, S. (2004) Filtration consistent nonlinear expectations and evaluations of contingent claims, *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica, English Series 20(2),* 1–24.

[4] Peng, S. (2005) Nonlinear expectations and nonlinear Markov chains, *Chin. Ann. Math. 26B(2),* 159–184

[5] Peng, S. (2009). Survey on normal distributions, central limit theorem, Brownian motion and the related stochastic calculus under sublinear expectations, *Science in China Series A: Mathematics, 52(7),* 1391–1411.

[6] Peng,S (2010) Nolinear expectations and stochastic calculus under uncertainty. Preprint (arxiv:1002.4546v1). 2010.